

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usstot.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,393	10/01/2003	Thomas Hubert Van Steenkiste	DP-300377 Div 1 9153		
22851 7	590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
M/C 480-410-2	202				
PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI 4	8007		1775		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55		,393	STEENKISTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examir	er	Art Unit				
	1	La Villa	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no amunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply b statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
, ,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-20 is/are all 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10 and 14 is/are rejocated to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict to the subject to restrict to the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject th	are withdrawn from owed. ected.						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific to the specif	2003 is/are: a) \square and a certion to the drawing (sing the correction is required.) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	- •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office acti	y documents have b y documents have b s of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece cule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	3			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040514,20040517.			al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 2. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - I. Regarding Claims 4 and 14, it is unclear whether "another metal" refers to other metals listed in Claims 3 and 13, respectively, or to any other metal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 5. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
 - (a)the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and e) as being anticipated by Van Steenkiste et al. USP 6,139,913. Van Steenkiste et al.

Art Unit: 1775

teaches spraying conductive metal particles larger than fifty microns on a brass substrate, resulting in a discontinuous surface. See Van Steenkiste et al. (col. 4, lines 31-33; col. 4, line 45 through col. 6, line 10). Since the method and materials are analogous to those of applicant's, it would be expected that the claimed structural features, such as aspect ratios and height, and properties, such as contact resistance, would be achieved.

- 9. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chakraborty et al. USP 5,525,570. Chakraborty et al. teaches spraying conductive metal particles on a steel substrate, resulting in a discontinuous surface. See Chakraborty et al. (Figures 1 and 2; col. 2, line 63 through col. 3, line 30; col. 4, lines 21-38).
- 10. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goto et al. USP 6,042,894. Goto teaches embedding conductive particles of the claimed sizes on the surface of a conductive substrate, forming a discontinuous layer of conductive particles. See Goto (Figures 1A and 1C; col. 10, line 30 through col. 11, line 22; and col. 32, line 60 through col. 33, line 18). The claims do not appear to require embedding of the second material <u>in</u> the first material.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 11-13 and 15-20 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 4 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1775

13. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

14. Neither the reviewed prior art nor the prior art of record teaches the subject matter of Claims 4, 5, and 11-20. Particularly, with respect to Claims 4 and 5, the claimed connector having tin particles as claimed is not taught or suggested. With respect to Claims 11-20, the claimed embedded particle laminate in an electrical connection structure as claimed is not taught or suggested.

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's submitted IDSs of 14 and 17 May 2004 have entries that were not considered. Any entry that was not considered is either duplicative of another entry or refers to a document that does not exist or was not provided.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and alternating Fridays.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1775

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael La Villa 21 December 2004 alle